#### **African Vaccine Regulatory Forum (AVAREF)**

**NON-CLINICAL ASSESSMENT** 

# Study Full Title Short Title Protocol No. Version No. Study Drug Date of review Name of reviewers

**Summary boxes** 

NA box

Trials with more than one IMP

#### 1.1. Introduction

**Note for IMPs with MA** 

Note for previously assessed IMPs without MA

#### 1.2. Pharmacology

#### 1.2.1. Primary pharmacodynamics

#### **Summary**

These pharmacology studies provide support for the pharmacological basis for the proposed trial	Yes □ No □ NA □
Were relevant in vitro and/or in vivo models studied?	Yes □ No □ NA □
Is the intended pharmacological effect expected/ possible at clinical exposure?	Yes □ No □ NA □
Were pharmacologically active major metabolites identified?	Yes □ No □ NA □
Is the IMP a first-in-class compound?	Yes □ No □ NA □

Assessor's comi	ment:		
2.2. Secondary	pharmacodynar	nics	
Summary			
The studies descr	ibed in this section	n identified off-target ef	fects Yes 🗆 No 🗆 NA 🗆
Are off-target effe	ects expected/poss	sible at clinical exposure	e? Yes □ No □ NA □
Workspace:			
Assessor's comi	mont:		
Assessor's comi	ment:		
2.3. <u>Safety pha</u>	rmacology		1
	Study type	Issues identified	Major Findings
System	T	identified	Major Findings
System Cardiovascular	T	identified  Yes No NA	Major Findings
System Cardiovascular	T	identified	Major Findings
System  Cardiovascular  Respiratory	T	identified  Yes No NA	Major Findings
System  Cardiovascular  Respiratory  CNS	T	identified  Yes No NA  Yes No NA	Major Findings
System  Cardiovascular  Respiratory  CNS	T	identified  Yes No NA  Yes No NA  Yes No NA	Major Findings
System  Cardiovascular  Respiratory  CNS  Other	Study type	identified  Yes No NA  Yes No NA  Yes No NA	
System  Cardiovascular  Respiratory  CNS  Other  Did the safety pha	Study type  armacology studie	identified  Yes No NA  Yes No NA  Yes No NA  Yes No NA	ncerns? Yes No NA
System  Cardiovascular  Respiratory  CNS  Other  Did the safety phase of the phase	Study type  armacology studie	identified  Yes No NA  Sidentify significant con	ncerns? Yes No NA
	Study type  armacology studie gins of exposure e	identified  Yes No NA  Sidentify significant con	ncerns? Yes No NA

### 1.2.4. Pharmacodynamic drug interactions

**Excretion** 

 $\mathsf{Yes} \square \; \mathsf{No} \square \; \mathsf{NA} \square$ 

<u>Summary</u>			
Have potential phar	macodynamics drug ir	nteractions been identified?	Yes□ No□
Workspace:			
Assessor's comme	ent:		
3. Pharmacokine	etics		
3.1. Methods of a	nalysis		
		ciki dikina a da sunka?	Vac Na Na Na Na
Are the methods of	analysis and their sen	sitivities adequate?	Yes□ No□ NA□
Workspace:			
Assessor's comme	ent:		
3.2. Absorption,	Distribution, Metabo	olism & Excretion	
<u>Summary</u>			
System	Issues identified	Findings	
Absorption	Yes□ No□ NA□		
	V		
Distribution	Yes□ No□ NA□		
Metabolism	Yes□ No□ NA□		

	s identify significant concer	ns? Yes□ No□ NA□
Major human metab	polites were identified	Yes□ No□ NA□
Unique human meta	abolites were identified	Yes□ No□ NA□
Workspace:		
•		
Assessor's comme	<u>ent:</u>	
3.3. Pharmacokin	netic drug interactions (E	inzymes, Transporter, other)
	,	,,, , ,
<u>Summary</u>		
	T	Т
Target evaluated	Interaction identified	Findings
Enzyme	Yes □ No □ NA □	
inhibition		
Enzyme	Yes □ No □ NA □	
=		
induction		
nduction	Yes □ No □ NA □	
induction Transporter	Yes □ No □ NA □	
nduction		
Transporter Co-pathways	Yes □ No □ NA □	t therapeutic dose Yes 🗆 No 🗆 NA 🗀
Transporter  Co-pathways  Potential for PK drug	Yes  No  NA  Yes  No  NA  INA  INA  INA  INA  INA  INA	d to investigators and Yes □ No □ NA □
Transporter  Co-pathways  Potential for PK drug	Yes  No  NA  Yes  No  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA  NA	d to investigators and Yes □ No □ NA □
Transporter  Co-pathways  Potential for PK drug	Yes  No  NA  Yes  No  NA  INA  INA  INA  INA  INA  INA	d to investigators and Yes □ No □ NA □

1.3.4. Other pharmacokinetic studies (e.g. PK of metabolite, novel excipients, genomic integration and inadvertent germline transmission of gene transfer vectors)

Summary	۷
---------	---

Were other PK studies performed?	Yes □ No □ NA □
Do these studies identify concerns?	Yes □ No □ NA □
Workspace:	
Assessor's comment:	

#### 1.4. Toxicology

#### **Summary**

### 1.4.1. Animal species selection/Study design

Toxicologically relevant animal species studied	Yes □ No □ NA □
The studied species show similar pharmacology to humans	Yes □ No □ NA □
The studied species show similar PK to humans	Yes □ No □ NA □
The studies were sufficiently well-designed	Yes □ No □ NA □
Workspace:	
Assessor's comment:	

#### 1.4.2. Single dose toxicity

#### **Summary**

Species	Dose/ Route	NO(A)EL/LOEL /MNTD (delete as required)	Major findings

Were significant toxicities identified?  Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?  Workspace:  Assessor's comment:  Study duration  Species Pose/ Route NO(A)EL/LOEL /MNTD (delete as required)  Were significant toxicities identified?  Were significant toxicities identified?  Yes No NA Delete as required  Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?  Yes No NA Delete NA			T				
Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure? Yes   No   NA    Workspace:  4.3. Repeat-dose toxicity  Summary  Study   Species   Dose/ Route   NO(A)EL/LOEL /MNTD (delete as required)    Were significant toxicities identified? Yes   No   NA    Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure? Yes   No   NA    Workspace:  Assessor's comment:  4.4. Genotoxicity							
Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure? Yes   No   NA    Workspace:  Assessor's comment:  4.3. Repeat-dose toxicity  Summary  Study duration   Species   Dose/ Route   NO(A)EL/LOEL //MNTD (delete as required)    Were significant toxicities identified? Yes   No   NA    Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure? Yes   No   NA    Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration? Yes   No   NA    Workspace:  Assessor's comment:							
Workspace:  4.3. Repeat-dose toxicity  Summary  Study duration Species Dose/ Route //MNTD (delete as required)  Were significant toxicities identified? Yes No No Na Dose the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration? Yes No Na Dose the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration? Yes No Na Dose the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration? Yes No Na Dose the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration? Yes No Na Dose the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration? Yes No Na Dose the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?	Were signif	icant toxicities	s identified?				Yes □ No □ NA □
Assessor's comment:  4.3. Repeat-dose toxicity  Summary  Study   Species   Dose/ Route   NO(A)EL/LOEL /MNTD (delete as required)    Were significant toxicities identified?   Yes   No   NA    Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?   Yes   No   NA    Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?   Yes   No   NA    Workspace:  Assessor's comment:	Do sufficier	nt margins of o	exposure exist	for planne	d clinica	l exposure?	Yes □ No □ NA □
4.3. Repeat-dose toxicity  Summary  Study duration   Species   Dose/ Route   NO(A)EL/LOEL / MNTD (delete as required)   Major findings    Were significant toxicities identified?   Yes   No   NA    Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?   Yes   No   NA    Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?   Yes   No   NA    Workspace:  4.4. Genotoxicity	Workspac	e:					
Study duration   Species   Dose/ Route   NO(A)EL/LOEL /MNTD (delete as required)   Major findings    Were significant toxicities identified?   Yes   No   NA    Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?   Yes   No   NA    Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?   Yes   No   NA    Workspace:  4.4. Genotoxicity	Assessor's	comment:					
Were significant toxicities identified?  No sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?  Yes No NA Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?  Workspace:  Assessor's comment:		at-dose toxi	city				
Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?  Yes No NA  Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?  Yes No NA  Workspace:  Assessor's comment:	-	Species	-	/MNTD (d	lelete	Major findir	ngs
Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?  Yes No NA  Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?  Yes No NA  Workspace:  Assessor's comment:							
Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?  Yes No NA  Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?  Yes No NA  Workspace:  Assessor's comment:							
Do sufficient margins of exposure exist for planned clinical exposure?  Yes No NA  Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?  Yes No NA  Workspace:  Assessor's comment:							
Does the duration of treatment support the proposed trial duration?  Yes No NA   Workspace:  Assessor's comment:	Were signif	icant toxicities	s identified?				Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA $\square$
Workspace: Assessor's comment:  4.4. Genotoxicity	Do sufficier	nt margins of o	exposure exist	for planne	d clinica	l exposure?	Yes □ No □ NA □
Assessor's comment:  4.4. Genotoxicity	Does the du	uration of trea	tment suppor	t the propos	sed trial	duration?	Yes □ No □ NA □
4.4. Genotoxicity	Workspace	e:					
	Assessor's	comment:					
Type of			cvetom		Pacult	•	

Gene mutations in bacteria		Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Equivocal ☐
In vitro mammalian assay		Positive □ Negative □ Equivocal □
In vivo genotoxicity test		Positive □ Negative □ Equivocal □
Additional assays		Positive ☐ Negative ☐ Equivocal ☐
Do the submitted dat	a indicated genotoxic po	otential? Yes 🗆 No 🗆 NA 🗆
Workspace:		
Assessor's commer	nt:	
4.5. Carcinogenicit	ty	
<u>Summary</u>		
Do studies identify po	otential for carcinogenicit	ty? Yes □ No □ NA □
Do sufficient margins	of exposure exist for pla	anned clinical exposure? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ NA $\square$
Workspace:		
Assessor's commer	nt:	
4.6. Reproductive ummary	and developmental to	oxicity
System	Toxicities identified	Findings
-,5.0	- CAIGINGS INCIDENTED	
Fertility and early embryonic development	Yes □ No □ NA □	
Embryo-fetal development	Yes □ No □ NA □	

Prenatal and	Yes □ No □ NA □			
postnatal	TCS = NO = NA =			
development,				
including				
maternal function				
Do sufficient margins	of exposure exist for pla	anned clinical exposure?	Yes □	No □ NA □
Workspace:				
Assessor's commen	it:			
1 4 6 1 ]	a:4 a4 d: a a			
1.4.6.1. Juvenile toxi	city studies			
<u>Summary</u>				
The studies utilized as	aimala in the annuanuist	0.000 40000	Vaa 🗆 Na 🗆	¬ NA □
The studies utilised at	nimals in the appropriat	e age range	Yes □ No □	」NA □
The studies identified	additional/enhanced ju	vanila taxicitias	Yes □ No □	¬ NA □
The studies identified	additional/enhanced ju	verifie toxicities	res 🗆 No L	」 INA □
Do sufficient margins	of exposure exist for nla	anned clinical exposure?	Yes □ No □	¬ NΔ □
Do sumelene margins	or exposure exist for pi	armed emilear exposure.	TCS 🗆 TO E	
Workspace:				
Assessor's commen	it:			
1.4.6.2. Other studies	s (including enhanced	I PPND studies)		
1.4.0.2. Other studies	s (including emianced	i FFND studies)		
<u>Summary</u>				
The studies identified	notential toxicities		Yes □ No □	¬ NA □
The studies identified	potential toxicities		TES LINU L	」 INA □
Do sufficient marging	of evangure evict for all	anned clinical exposure?	Yes □ No □	¬ ΝΛ □
Do sumcient margins	or exposure exist for pie	anneu chincar exposure:	IES 🗆 INO L	ına u
Workspace:				
Assessor's commen	it:			

#### 1.4.6.3. Recommendations for contraception measures

## **Non-clinical data summary IMP** (please all appropriate) Suspected/ demonstrated teratogenic or fetotoxic effects $\Box$ Genotoxic □ Insufficient data $\Box$ Demonstrated embryo-fetotoxic effects but which do not seem to be relevant to the CT subjects Sufficient data and no indication of risk $\ \square$ Comparator IMP/ auxiliary MP (please all appropriate) NA $\square$ Suspected or demonstrated teratogenic or fetotoxic $\square$ Genotoxic □ Insufficient data $\square$ Demonstrated embryo-fetotoxic effects but which do not seem to be relevant to the CT subjects Sufficient data and no indication of risk $\hfill\Box$

WOCBP/male partners of WOCBP are included in the proposed clinical

trial

Yes □ No □

teratogenicity/ fetotoconsidered (please to	regnancy testing in clinical controls are security based on the non-		demonstrated/suspected $\hfill\Box$
			unlikely 🗆
Workspace:			
Assessor's comme	nt: <u>Note</u>		
4.7. Local toleran	ce		
<u>Summary</u>			
Do the submitted stu	udies indicate a potential	for local toxicity?	Yes □ No □ NA □
Workspace:			
Assessor's comme	nt:		
4.8. Other toxicity	<i>ı</i> studies		
·	r studies  Toxicities identified	Findings	
Dedicated Study		Findings	
Dedicated Study Phototoxicity Tissue cross	Toxicities identified	Findings	
Dedicated Study Phototoxicity Tissue cross reactivity	Toxicities identified  Yes  No  NA	Findings	
Dedicated Study  Phototoxicity  Tissue cross reactivity  Antigenicity	Toxicities identified  Yes  No NA  Yes No NA	Findings	
Phototoxicity Tissue cross reactivity Antigenicity Immunotoxicity	Toxicities identified  Yes  No NA   Yes No NA   Yes No NA   Yes No NA	Findings	
4.8. Other toxicity  Dedicated Study  Phototoxicity  Tissue cross reactivity  Antigenicity  Immunotoxicity  Dependence  Metabolites	Toxicities identified  Yes  No NA   Yes No NA   Yes No NA   Yes No NA   Yes No NA	Findings	

Other	Yes □ No □ NA □		
Workspace:			
Assessor's com	nment:		
5. Additional	Considerations		
5.1. First in Hu	uman Trials		
<u>Summary</u>			
Is the starting dose adequately justified?		Yes □ No □	NA □
Are the dose ste	ps adequately justified?	Yes □ No □	NA 🗆
Is the maximum dose adequately justified?		Yes □ No □	NA 🗆
Workspace:			
Assessor's com	ment:		
5.2. ATMPs			
<u>Summary</u>			
	Are there any additional relevant concerns for this product?		NA 🗆
Are there any ad			
Are there any ad			

#### 1.6. Scientific advice/ PIP

Workspace:	
Accessive comments	
Assessor's comment:	
.7. GLP aspects	
Were all pivotal safety studies performed in line with OECD-GLP Yes □ No I and performed in a country that is a member of OECD Mutual Acceptance of Data (MAD) for GLP?	□ Unknown □
Workspace:	
Assessor's comment:	
Assessor s comment.	
.8. Assessor's Overall Conclusions on Non-Clinical Part	
The non-clinical data provided are acceptable $\hfill\Box$	
Supplementary information needs to be provided (refer to the list of requests for additional information) $\hfill\Box$	
Overall comment/ conclusion on the non-clinical assessment: <u>Note</u>	
.9.	